Searching for Gluon Saturation in d+Au Collisions at PHENIX

Beau Meredith

PHENIX Collaboration University of Illinois at Urbana Champaign 1110 W Green St, Urbana, IL, 61801, USA

Abstract

Much of my recent work has focused on measurements using the PHENIX forward detectors in high energy deuteron-gold collisions [1-2], enabling us to study cold nuclear matter effects in nucleon structure at low x. The high gluon densities in Lorentz-contracted gold nuclei make it possible to probe for gluon saturation or color glass condensate effects in the absence of quarkgluon plasma [3]. Past RHIC experiments have shown a suppression in nuclear modification factors (R_{dA} , R_{cp}) for $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV}$ d+Au collisions in the forward (deuteron) direction [4-6]. Multiple theories can explain the observed suppression (including saturation), but a conclusive measurement discriminating between the models has yet to be carried out. Two new forward electromagnetic calorimeters (Muon Piston Calorimeters, $-3.7 < \eta < -3.1$, $3.1 < \eta < 3.9$) allow the PHENIX experiment to further study forward di-hadron correlations, which have been predicted to show dramatic effects due to gluon saturation [7]. In particular, I will show azimuthal correlations of di-hadron pairs at different pseudorapidities; the forward pseudorapidity correlations are especially interesting because it is expected that they provide a test of gluon saturation down to $x \approx 10^{-3}$ in the Au nucleus. The analyses presented are based on the high integrated luminosity data sample of d+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV taken at RHIC in 2008. In addition to analysis work, I shall also present a short history Muon Piston Calorimeter, and discuss my contributions to the detector construction, calibration, and reconstruction algorithm.

References

- [1] B. Meredith, Nucl. Phys. A830, 595 (2009) [nucl-ex/0907.4832].
- [2] B. Meredith, DIS 2010 Conference Proceedings, PoS(DIS 2010)081.
- [3] L. McLerran and R. Venugopalan, Phys. Rev. D49, 2233 (1994); Phys. Rev. D49, 3352 (1994).
- [4] I. Arsene, et al. (BRAHMS), Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 242303 (2004).
- [5] J. Adams, et al. (STAR), Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 152302 (2006).
- [6] S. S. Adler, et al. (PHENIX), Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 082302 (2005).
- [7] D. Kharzeev, E. Levin and L. McLerran, Nucl. Phys. A748, 627-640 (2005).